

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,632	04/20/2001	David H. Lynch	3124	2458
22932 7	7590 03/31/2005		EXAMINER	
IMMUNEX CORPORATION LAW DEPARTMENT			SCHEINER, LAURIE A	
1201 AMGEN COURT WEST SEATTLE, WA 98119			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/839,632	LYNCH, DAVID H.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Laurie A. Scheiner	1648			
Perlod fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Faile Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U S C & 133)			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Au</u>	ıgust 2004.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
8)						
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r. ~				
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen		_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🛛 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/26/01 & 10/21/02</u> .	6)				

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements filed 7/26/01 and 10/21/02 have been considered. An initialed copy is enclosed.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of *** in Paper No. ***is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that***. This is not found persuasive because***.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim *** withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected***, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No.***.

Applicant's election without traverse of *** in Paper No. ***is acknowledged.

Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-10) on August 4, 2004 is acknowledged.

Because applicant has canceled all non-elected claims in response to the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/839,632

Art Unit: 1648

Claims 2-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2-10 should recite --The-- "bispecific antibody" for proper reference to the previously recited claim components.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ashkenazi et al. (WO 00/73349) in view of Ni et al. (US 6,743,625).

Ashkenazi et al. teach antibodies specific for death receptor 4 (DR4; also known as TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) receptor 1) for treatment of various diseases, including cancer (see e.g. pages 7, 41) or infectious diseases (pages 55-56). Specific monoclonal antibodies that bind to the receptor and trigger apoptosis are taught (see e.g. pages 29-30). The antibodies can be monoclonal and/or multispecific, particularly bispecific with the other antibody specificity directed against another cell surface protein or receptor (pages 15, 41-42). The antibodies can be used in combination with other therapeutic agents such as anti-DR5 antibodies (pages 56-57).

Ni et al. teach death receptor 5 (DR5; also known as TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) receptor 2) and antibodies specific therefor. The antibodies may be bispecific,

Art Unit: 1648

specific for both the DR5 polypeptide and a heterologous polypeptide (col. 62, lines 59-65).

Anti-DR5 antibodies which activate the receptor are part of the invention for therapeutic methods (cols. 64, 78, 79), including stimulating apoptotic death of cancer cells (cols. 79-81, 84) or cells with infectious agents (col. 87). The antibody can be co-administered with another agent that induces apoptosis (col. 80).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made to have constructed and used bispecific antibodies with binding sites specific for DR4 and DR5 (TRAIL receptors 1 and 2) in the methods of Ashkenazi et al. and Ni et al. because both Ashkenazi et al. and Ni et al. teach that their respective anti-receptor antibodies can be used as bispecific antibodies in combination with antibodies specific for a heterologous polypeptide, in particular another receptor as taught in Ashkenazi et al., and that their antibodies can be used in combination therapies with other apoptotic-inducing agents, Ashkenazi et al. specifically teaching combinations of anti-DR4 and anti-DR5 antibodies. One of ordinary skill in the would have been motivated to have provided the agents as a bispecific antibody in view of the direct suggestions in both Ashkenazi et al. and Ni et al. to do so.

Thus, the claimed invention as a whole was clearly <u>prima</u> <u>facie</u> obvious, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Salcedo et al. (US 2003/0228309) teach structural aspects of bispecific antibodies but appear to teach away from their production for use (see e.g. page 8 ¶ [0064]).

Status of the Claims

No claim is allowed.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1648

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laurie Scheiner, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0910. Due to a flexible work schedule, the examiner's hours typically vary each day. However, the examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel, can be reached on (571) 272-0902. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Correspondence related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

100

Laurie Scheiner/LAS March 18, 2005

> Laurie Scheiner Primary Examiner Art Unit 1648